





Attorney's Docket No.: 81862.P125

PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

A BACKGROUND TEST SYSTEM FOR TIME DIVISION MULTIPLEXING SWITCHING SYSTEMS

		26		
was med	United States Application			
	or PCT International App	olication Number		
	and was amended on	(if applicable)	<u>·</u>	
		(ii applicable)		
			ıtentabilit	y a
n application(s) for reign application fo	patent or inventor's certi or patent or inventor's ce	ficate listed below and have also	identified	l be
	•		Priori	ity
Foreign Application	<u>(s)</u>		Priori <u>Claim</u>	
Foreign Application (Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)		ned
		(Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed)	Clain	
i (was filed by state that I have ication, including the owledge the duty to d in Title 37, Code by claim foreign pro- n application(s) for reign application for	was filed on United States Application or PCT International Application and was amended on by state that I have reviewed and understartication, including the claim(s), as amended owledge the duty to disclose all information d in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, by claim foreign priority benefits under Title application(s) for patent or inventor's certi	was filed on	was filed on as

Express Mail" mailing label number: EL 37100734800
Express Mail" mailing label number: ££37100734800 Date of Deposit:
hereby certify that I am causing this paper or fee to be
deposited with the United States Postal Service Express Mail Post
Office to Addressee" service on the date indicated above and
that this paper or fee has been addressed to the Commissioner
of Ratents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231
(Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)

malling paper or fee) (Signature of person

(Date signed)

Rev. 11/30/98 (D2)



I hereby claim the benefit under title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below

(Application Number)	Filing Date
(Application Number)	Filing Date

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; Amy M. Armstrong, Reg. No. 42,265; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Yong S. Choi, Reg. No. P43,324; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; Barbara Bokanov Courtney, Reg. No. 42,442; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Richard Leon Gregory, Jr., Reg. No. 42,607; Dinu Gruia, Reg. No. P42,996; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,159; Phuong-Quan Hoang, Reg. No. 41,839; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, Reg. 42,004; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; Babak Redjaian, Reg. No. 42,096; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Anand Sethuraman, Reg. No. P43,351; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff. Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Stephen Warhola, Reg. No. 43,237; Charles T. J. Weigell, Reg. No. 43,398; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys, and James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; and Chad R. Walsh, Reg. No. 43,235; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31.710, my patent attorney; with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

statements made on information and belief are be statements were made with the knowledge that wi punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Code and that such willful false statements may je patent issued thereon.	illful false statements and the like so made are r Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Kirk Dow Sanders	<u>S</u>
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence San Jose, CA (City, State)	Citizenship <u>U.S.A.</u>
(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address 1576 Danromas Way	
San Jose, CA 95129	
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor Wing Cheong	Chau
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence Los Altos Hills, CA	Citizenship U.S.A.
Residence <u>Los Altos Hills, CA</u> (City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address <u>25811 Estacada Drive</u> Los Altos	
Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor	
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence	Citizenship
Residence(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address	
Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor	
Inventor's Signature	Date

Send correspondence to <u>Lester J. Vincent</u>

(Name of Attorney or Agent)

telephone calls to Lester J. Vincent , (408) 720-8598. (Name of Attorney or Agent)

ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025 and direct

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all

_____, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &

(City, State)

Citizenship _

(Country)

Post Office Address

Residence ___

Residence		Citizenship	
Residence(Ci	ity, State)		(Country)
Post Office Address			
Full Name of Sixth/Joint Inver	ntor		
Inventor's Signature		Date	
Residence		Citizenship	
Residence(Ci	ity, State)	•	(Country)
Post Office Address			
Full Name of Seventh/Joint In	nventor		
Inventor's Signature		Date	
Residence(Ci		Citizenship	
(Ci	ity, State)		(Country)
Post Office Address			

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Full Name of Fifth/Joint Inventor _____





Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.